

**Dinsmore & Shohl LLP**  
ATTORNEYS**FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL**

September 5, 2006

*from* **JOHN D. REED**

Direct: 937-449-6453 / Fax: 937-223-0724 / john.reed@dinslaw.com

**To:** L. Ferguson, Examiner  
**Firm:** Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
**Fax Number:** (571) 273-8300  
**Client Number:** STD 1184 PA/41213.541  
**Pages:** 4  
(including cover)

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
SEP 05 2006

**Comments:** OFFICIAL OFFICIAL OFFICIAL

Applicants : Rajendra Mehta et al.  
Serial No. : 10/666,915  
Filed : September 18, 2003  
Title : INK JET PRINTABLE SECURITY DOCUMENT  
Docket : STD 1184 PA/41213.541/PD 02-18  
Examiner : L. Ferguson  
Art Unit : 1774

If there are any problems in receiving this transmission, please call Theresa Oldfield at (937) 449-6400 immediately. Thank you.

**Notice**

*This message is intended only for the use of the individuals or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this notice is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this notice in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return these papers to us at the address below via first class mail.*

Dayton • One Dayton Centre • One South Main Street, Suite 1300 • Dayton, OH 45402-2030 • Phone: (937) 449-6400

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## Application of

Applicants : Rajendra Mehta et al.  
Serial No. : 10/666,915  
Filed : September 18, 2003  
Title : INK JET PRINTABLE SECURITY DOCUMENT  
Docket : STD 1184 PA/41213.541/PD 02-18  
Examiner : L. Ferguson  
Art Unit : 1774

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
SEP 05 2006

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT  
Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION  
I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to  
the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. 571/273-8300) on  
September 5, 2006.

John D. Reed, Attorney

REG. No. 46,506

Sir:

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116**

This response is being filed in response to the Office Communication mailed August 18, 2006, based on a telephone interview conducted on August 14, 2006 and having a reply due date of September 19, 2006. In the Communication, the Examiner required the Applicants to include the substance of the August 14, 2006 interview. By this response, the Applicants provide the substance of such interview, which the Applicants believe will place the original response filed on August 14, 2006 in proper form for consideration by the Examiner.

**Statement of the Substance of the August 14, 2006 Interview**

On August 14, 2006, the undersigned and Examiner Lawrence D. Ferguson conducted a telephonic interview to discuss the final rejection, the art cited (specifically, US Patent 5,250,492 to Dotson et al., hereinafter the '492 patent) as justification for the final rejection, and points of distinction between the present invention and the '492 patent.